

Ling 51/Psych 56L

Fall 2017

Review questions: Introduction to language acquisition

(1) Terms/concepts to know: grammar, prescriptive grammar, descriptive grammar, language pathology, language pedagogy, explicit correction, recast, motherese, fatherese, diary studies, CHILDES, MLU, MacArthur-Bates CDIs, Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test, Act-out tasks, pointing tasks, grammaticality judgment tasks, elicited production, repetition/imitation elicitation, syntactic priming, computational modeling, Language Acquisition Device (LAD), domain-specific knowledge, domain-general knowledge, Universal Grammar, poverty of the stimulus, induction problems, linguistic nativist, non-linguistic nativist, empiricism, nativism, generativist, constructionist

(2) What are some practical applications to studying how normal children acquire their native language?

(3) What is one reason why children can't learn to understand and produce full sentences in their language just by imitating sentences they hear? Does it seem like children try to imitate sentences they hear word-for-word in real life?

(4) What is one reason why it's unlikely we get taught everything we know about language? (Hint: What kinds of things might be difficult to teach, and why might they be difficult to teach?)

(5) Which grammar – descriptive or prescriptive - do linguists generally care about? What are some examples of prescriptive rules? What are some examples of descriptive rules?

(6) What is one reason why children probably don't learn everything they know about language by being explicitly corrected by their parents? (Hint: What errors are parents more likely to explicitly correct?)

(7) How is a recast different from explicit correction? Why are recasts not necessarily a reliable source of correct language use? Is there any evidence that recasts are helpful nonetheless?

(8) What are some properties that often are true of motherese that might make it easier for children to learn from? (Hint: What is the prosody like? What do the topics tend to be? Does it involve more or fewer errors than adult-directed speech? How does it relate to children's attention? Is it repetitious? Is it instructive? Is it attuned to the level of linguistic knowledge the child has?)

(9) How does fatherese differ from motherese, according to the VanDam et al. (2015) study? Why might this be helpful for children? (Hint: Think about what happens when children encounter people whose voices they haven't heard before.)

- (10) What evidence is there that children under two years old can learn language from someone who's not physically present? (Hint: Think about "screen time".)
- (11) What evidence is there that motherese is helpful for language acquisition?
- (12) What evidence is there that words children overhear are not as useful for learning as words that are specifically directed to children (usually in the form of motherese) until children are preschool age? Why might this be?
- (13) Why is it important to do language acquisition research across different cultures?
- (14) What kind of information does the CHILDES database contain? Why would it be useful for language acquisition research?
- (15) Give one example of a measure of a child's language comprehension abilities, and give one example of a measure of a child's language production abilities.
- (16) Which task, an act-out task or a pointing task, is likely to be more cognitively demanding for the child? (Hint: Which requires less conscious effort?)
- (17) How does a standard elicitation task differ from a repetition/imitation elicitation task?
- (18) Why is computational modeling a useful research method? What does it usually test?
- (19) What does the linguistic nativist approach to language acquisition believe to be true about the Language Acquisition Device? Does this approach believe that data from the surrounding linguistic environment are needed to learn language?
- (20) What is an induction problem? How does it relate to the idea of "impoverished" input and poverty of the stimulus? (Hint: What is the child trying to do from the data available?)
- (21) Does the domain-general approach to language acquisition believe there are any learning processes specific to language?
- (22) Does a nativist necessarily have to believe that the child has innate *knowledge* about the general form of language? (Hint: Is there a different way for the child to have innate abilities relating to language? Also, do all innate abilities have to be domain-specific?)
- (23) Are both the generativist and constructionist perspectives on language acquisition compatible with the idea that there is some innate knowledge the child has? If so, how do these views differ? How do they relate to a nativist perspective? (Hint: Are they both nativist perspectives?)

(24) Is statistical learning domain-specific or domain-general? How do you know? Give evidence to support your claim.