

#### Pearl (2023), Modeling Syntactic Acquisition

Pronouns

Kaitlyn So LSCI 159

## **The Acquisition Task**

Orita et al. (2013) proposes that "...when perceiving their data, children automatically **focus on structurally-defined information**, such as what structural location a pronoun's antecedent appears at. The **acquisition task** is then about **identifying classes of pronouns** whose antecedents seem to appear in **different distributions**." **Example:** Lily, who adores Sarah, admires herself in the mirror.

- Children would recognize that:
  - *"herself"* as a specific pronoun class (reflexive pronoun)
  - the pronoun's antecedent appear in certain structurallydefined positions
- Thus, the only potential antecedent in one of these positions is "Lily" and so "Lily" must be the referent of "herself"

# Learning Problem

"The problem is one that highlights the <u>interdependence</u> of <u>acquisition</u> and <u>processing</u>: Children must sort pronouns into classes based on where their antecedents appear (acquisition), but children need to know how to interpret a pronoun in order to know where its antecedent appears (processing)." Lily, who adores Sarah, admires **PRONOUN** in the mirror.

- If the child knows that **PRONOUN** is reflexive ("*herself*") then the antecedent <u>must</u> be "*Lily*".
- If the child know that **PRONOUN** is a plain ("*her*") then the antecedent <u>must</u> be "*Sarah*".

• Children would be unable to determine which is the antecedent when the pronoun class is unknown.

## **Discourse Context**

"even if the child has **no idea** what the **structural constraints are for a pronoun**, she may be able to guess the intended antecedent from the **context available**.

Discourse context aid children in making **inferences** about pronoun antecedents and from there " the child can then **get a sense of what the structural distribution of antecedents** is for any given pronoun, and get the **acquisition process started** for pronoun classes."

# **Orita el al. (2013)**

- **Goal:** Estimate how informative naturalistic discourse context would be for children when trying to interpret pronouns.
- Used the CHILDES database, taking utterances from recorded conversations between adults and children.
- Each utterance contained an NP from one of the three categories.
  - Reflexive pronouns
  - Plain pronouns
  - Lexical names
- The NP was deleted and replaced with a blank.
- Adults participants were tasked with guessing what went in the blank.

## **Orita el al. (2013)**

"The idea was that if an adult can **correctly guess the identity of the missing NP**, the discourse information containing the potential antecedents **must be informative enough** to do so... This means that a child given this very same conversational snippet – **but who doesn't yet know how to interpret herself – would be able to infer that herself refers to Lily**. This is the key information for learning about the distribution of antecedents for *herself*."

# Orita el al. (2013) Findings

- **Results:** reflexives were harder to guess from discourse context compared to plain pronouns.
  - $\circ$  Reflexive pronouns  $\rightarrow$  68% correct
  - $\circ$  Plain pronouns  $\rightarrow$  81% correct
- Results suggest that discourse context is indeed informative enough for bootstrapping the acquisition of pronoun classes.

### **The Model**

- Created a model that integrated the input using the Bayesian inference.
- The input was the same utterances used in the study.
- Model's acquisitional intake consisted of:
  - 1. Pronoun used in the dialogue
  - 2. Distribution over possible antecedents for that pronoun
  - 3. The structural position of the possible antecedents for that pronoun
- Found that discourse context "allows the learner to correctly interpret both reflexive and plain pronouns in context."

#### What We Learned

"Without that discourse information, pronoun class acquisition is quite poor...learning how to interpret particular pronouns is just as poor even if the modeled learner already knows there are two classes of pronouns and also knows exactly the right rules about antecedent distribution for each class. That is, the acquisition process for anaphora resolution still can't get off the ground without some way to guess what a particular pronoun's antecedent might be."

\*discourse context is crucial to the acquisition of pronoun classes\*