

## Problems

- Lack of invariance
- Talker normalization
- Segmentation
- Speech is too fast to hear!


Lack of Invariance Problem


There is no unique acoustic pattern associated with the perception of phonemes.

## Talker Normalization



Formant patterns for 7 vowels, men vs. children Similar structure, but lots of frequency diffs

| Segmentation |
| :---: |
| Oronyms Illustrate the Problem |
| phrases that can be segmented in more than one way |


| "Mondegreens" |
| :---: |
| As a child, author Sylvia Wright heard the lyrics of The Bonny |
| Earl of Murray (a Scottish ballad) as: |
| Ye highlands and ye lowlands |
| Oh where hae you been? |
| Thou hae slay the Earl of Murray |
| And Lady Mondegreen |


| "Excuse me while I kiss this guy." <br> "Excuse me while I kiss the sky." <br> Purple Haze, Jimi Hendrix |
| :---: |
| "She's got a chicken to ride." "She's got a ticket to ride." Ticket to Ride, The Beatles |
| "You and me and Leslie." <br> "You and me endlessly..." Groovin', The Rascals |
| "Sunday monkey won't play piano song, play piano song." "Sont des mots qui vont tres bien ensemble; tres bien ensemble." Michelle, The Beatles |
| "What a nice surprise when you're out of ice." "What a nice surprise bring your alibis." Hotel California, Eagles |
| "I'm a pool hall ace." <br> "My poor heart aches." <br> Every Step You Take, The Police |



The case of "nuther"


Segmentation problem not unique to speech


Speech is too fast to hear! (WTF?)


1. A fast sentence: 1 phoneme every 75 msec - can you understand it?
2. A fast sentence:: 1 phoneme every 7 msec - can you underst
3. A fast melody: 1 note every 75 sec - can you name that tune?
4. The same melody at normal pace: 1 note every 340 sec
5. A normal sentence: 1 phoneme every 110 sec $<-$ still very fast!

How can we hear speech that fast?

- Parallel transmission of phonemic information (coarticulation)
- Maybe the units aren't phonemes ???

Categorical Perception

ba Not a linear curve

## Phenomena

- Categorical perception
- Ganong effect
- Phonetic context effect
- Phonemic restoration
- McGurk-MacDonald

Categorical Perception


## Categorical Perception



## Categorical Perception


ba
ga
Categorical perception is demonstrated by showing that discrimination is better across the category boundary than within it

Categorical Perception


Categorical perception demonstrated by showing that discrimination is better across the category boundary than within it

## Categorical Perception



Not a flat function

## Categorical Perception

BUT! If listeners are asked instead to rate how good the syllable is as an exemplar of a category, the functions look more linear (not so categorical)

The task is important.


Massaro \& Cohen 1983

## Why Categorize?

- Imagine a world without categories? How would you manage anything?
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## Why Categorize?

- Categories guide our behavior by allowing us to generalize from past experience
- Same is true for speech




## Ganong Effect

- Named for William Ganong
- A lexical effect on speech perception


What's the last sound in these words?

Visual "Ganongs"


What does it mean?

- The context matters
- The brain is not just processing local bits and pieces. It is using surrounding and higher-level information to construct our perceptual experience


## Phonemic Restoration

- "There is not a giraffe standing next to me"
- Answer: no.
- There is gap.
- What sound is missing?


## Phonemic Restoration

- "There is not a giraffe standing next to me"
- Same sentence with a cough


## Phonemic Restoration

- "There is not a giraffe standing next to me"
- Is the sentence complete?


## Phonemic Restoration

- "There is not a giraffe standing next to me"
- Answer: If/ in giraffe is gone

Visual "Restoration"



McGurk-MacDonald Effect


McGurk-MacDonald Effect


