# Psych 156A/ Ling 150: Acquisition of Language II

Lecture 7 Grammatical Categories

#### Announcements

Be working on HW2.

Review questions for grammatical categorization available.

Midterm review on Thursday 4/22/10 in class!

#### Grammatical Categorization

Computational Problem: Identify the grammatical category of a word (such as noun, verb, adjective, preposition, etc.) This will tell you how this word is used in the language, and will allow you to recognize other words that belong to the same category since they will be used the same way.

Examples of different categories in English: noun = goblin, kitten, king, girl

Examples of how nouns are used: I like that goblin. Kittens are adorable. A king said that no girls would ever solve the Labyrinth.

#### Grammatical Categorization

Computational Problem: Identify the grammatical category of a word (such as noun, verb, adjective, preposition, etc.) This will tell you how this word is used in the language, and will allow you to recognize other words that belong to the same category since they will be used the same way.

Examples of different categories in English: verb = like, are, said, solve, stand

Examples of how verbs are used: I like that goblin. Kittens are adorable. A king said that no girls would ever solve the Labyrinth. Sarah was standing very close to him.

#### Grammatical Categorization

Computational Problem: Identify the grammatical category of a word (such as noun, verb, adjective, preposition, etc.) This will tell you how this word is used in the language, and will allow you to recognize other words that belong to the same category since they will be used the same way.

Examples of different categories in English: adjective = silly, adorable, brave, close

Examples of how adjectives are used:

I like the silliest goblin. Kittens are so adorable. The king said that only brave girls would solve the Labyrinth. Sarah was standing very close to him.

#### Grammatical Categorization

Computational Problem: Identify the grammatical category of a word (such as noun, verb, adjective, preposition, etc.) This will tell you how this word is used in the language, and will allow you to recognize other words that belong to the same category since they will be used the same way.

Examples of different categories in English: preposition = near, through, to

Examples of how prepositions are used: I like the goblin near the king's throne. The king said that no girls would get through the Labyrinth. Sarah was standing very close to him.

# Grammatical Categorization

a word (such as noun, verb, adjective, preposition, etc.) This will tell you how this word is used in the language, and will allow you to recognize other words that belong to the same category since they will be used the same way.

"This is a DAX." DAX = ??

"He is SIBing." SIB = ??

"He is very BAV." BAV = ??

"He should sit GAR the other dax." GAR = ??



e is very BAV." BAV = adjective "He

"He should sit GAR the other dax." GAR = preposition



The word's meaning is then linked to innate grammatical category knowledge (nouns are objects/substances, verb are actions, adjectives are properties)

#### Semantic Bootstrapping Hypothesis: Problem

Mapping rules are not perfect Ex: not all action-like words are verbs

"bouncy", "a kick" action-like meaning, but they're not verbs



Ex: not all property-like words are adjectives

"is shining", "it glitters" seem to be referring to properties, but these aren't adjectives

Categorization: How?		
Idea 2: Distributional Learning		
Children can initially determine a word's category by observing the linguistic environments in which words appear. Noun Kittens are adorable.		
Sarah was standing very close to him.		
I like the silliest goblin. Adjective		
The king said that no girls would get through the Labyrinth. Preposition		



Children are sensitive to the distributional properties of their native language when they're born (Shi, Werker, & Morgan 1999).



words are nouns, based on the distributional information around the novel words (Höhle et al. 2004)

18-month English infants can track distributional information like *"is...-ing"* to signal that a word is a verb (Santelmann & Jusczyk 1998)



How do we know in child-directed speech (which is the linguistic data children encounter)...

- (1) What distributional information children should pay attention to?
- (2) If the available distributional information will actually correctly categorize words?

#### Mintz 2003: What data should children pay attention to?

"...question is how the learner is to know which environments are important and which should be ignored. Distributional analyses that consider all the possible relations among words in a corpus of sentences would be computationally unmanageable at best, and impossible at worst."

One idea: local contexts

... by showing that local contexts are informative, these findings suggested a solution to the problem of there being too many possible environments to keep track of: focusing on local contexts might be sufficient."

#### Mintz 2003: Frequent Frames

Idea: What categorization information is available if children track frequent frames?

Frequent frame: X\_\_\_Y where X and Y are words that frame another word and appear frequently in the child's linguistic environment

the girl is...

Examples: the\_is the king is...

can\_\_\_him can trick him... the goblin is... can help him... can hug him...

#### Mintz 2003: Samples of Child-Directed Speech

Data representing child's linguistic environment: 6 corpora of child-directed speech from the CHILDES database, which contains transcriptions of parents interacting with their children.

CHILDES Child Language Data Exchange System



Corpus (sg.), corpora (pl). = a collection of data [from Latin body, a "body" of data]

#### Mintz 2003: Defining "Frequent"

Definition of "frequent" for frequent frames: Frames appearing a certain number of times in a corpus

"The principles guiding inclusion in the set of frequent frames were that frames should occur frequently enough to be noticeable, and that they should also occur enough to include a variety of intervening words to be categorized together.... a pilot analysis with a randomly chosen corpus, Peter, determined that the 45 most frequent frames satisfied these goals and provided good categorization."

Set of frequent frames = 45 most frequent frames



#### Mintz 2003: Testing the Categorization Ability of Frequent Frames

Try out frequent frames on a corpus of child-directed speech.

Frame (1): the\_\_\_is

Transcript: "...the radio is in the way...but the doll is...and the teddy is..."

radio, doll, teddy are placed into the same category by the\_\_\_\_is

Frame (13): you \_\_\_it Transcript: "...you draw it so that he can see it... you dropped it on purpose!...so he hit you with it..."

draw, dropped, with are placed into the same category by you\_\_\_\_it

Mintz 2003: Determining the success of frequent frames

Precision = <u># of words identified correctly as Category within frame</u> # of words identified as Category within frame

Recall = <u># of words identified correctly as Category within frame</u> # of words that should have been identified as Category



Category: draw, dropped, with (similar to Verb so compare to Verb)

# of words correctly identified as Verb = 2 (draw, dropped) # of words identified as Verb = 3 (draw, dropped, with) Precision for you\_\_\_it = 2/3

#### Mintz 2003: Determining the success of frequent frames

Precision = <u># of words identified correctly as Category within frame</u> # of words identified as Category within frame

Recall = <u># of words identified correctly as Category within frame</u> # of words that should have been identified as Category

#### Frame: you\_\_\_it

Category: draw, dropped, with (similar to Verb so compare to Verb)

# of words correctly identified as Verb = 2 (draw, dropped) # of words should be identified as Verb = all verbs in corpus (play, sit, draw, dropped, ran, kicked, ...)

#### Mintz 2003: Determining the success of frequent frames

Precision = <u># of words identified correctly as Category within frame</u> # of words identified as Category within frame

Recall = <u># of words identified correctly as Category within frame</u> # of words that should have been identified as Category

Frame: you\_\_\_it

Category: draw, dropped, with (similar to Verb so compare to Verb)

# of words correctly identified as Verb = 2

# of words should be identified as Verb = all verbs in language Recall = 2/all (much smaller number)

#### Mintz 2003: Some actual frequent frame results

Frame: you\_\_\_it

Category includes:

put, want, do, see, take, turn, taking, said, sure, lost, like, leave, got, find, throw, threw, think, sing, reach, picked, get, dropped, seen, lose, know, knocked, hold, help, had, gave, found, fit, enjoy, eat, chose, catch, with, wind, wear, use, took, told, throwing, stick, share, sang, roll, ride, recognize, reading, ran, pulled, pull, press, pouring, pick, on, need, move, manage, make, load, liked, lift, licking, let, left, hit, hear, give, flapped, fix, finished, drop, driving, done, did, cut, crashed, change, calling, bring, break, because, banged

#### Mintz 2003: Some actual frequent frame results

#### Frame: the\_\_\_is

#### Category includes:

moon, sun, truck, smoke, kitty, fish, dog, baby, tray, radio, powder, paper, man, lock, lipstick, lamb, kangaroo, juice, ice, flower, elbow, egg, door, donkey, doggie, crumb, cord, clip, chicken, bug, brush, book, blanket, Mommy

#### Mintz 2003: How successful frequent frames were

Precision: Above 90% for all corpora (high) = very good!

Interpretation: When a frequent frame clustered words together into category, they often did belong together. (Nouns were put together, verbs were put together, etc.)

Recall: Around 10% for all corpora (very low) = maybe not as good...

Interpretation: A frequent frame made lots of little clusters, rather than being able to cluster all the words into one category. (So, there were lots of Noun-ish clusters, lots of Verb-ish clusters, etc.)



#### Mintz 2003: Getting better recall

How could we form just one category of Verb, Noun, etc.?

Observation: Many frames overlap in the words they identify.

is





What about putting clusters together that have a certain number of words in common?





Mintz 2003: Getting better recall	
How could we form just one category of Verb, Noun, etc.?	How co
Observation: Many frames overlap in the words they identify.	Obse
the_is/was       a_is         dog       dog         cat       goblin         king       girl         girl       teddy	the_ dog cat king girl teddy

# Mintz 2003: Getting better recall

How could we form just one category of Verb, Noun, etc.?

Observation: Many frames overlap in the words they identify.



( that	_is	`
cat		
goblin		
king		
teddy		)
		_



#### Mintz 2003: Getting better recall

How could we form just one category of Verb, Noun, etc.?

Observation: Many frames overlap in the words they identify.

the/a/that_	is/was
dog	teddy
cat	goblin
king	
girl	J

Recall goes up to 91% (very high) = very good! Precision stays above 90% (very high) = very good!

#### Mintz 2003: Recap

Frequent frames are non-adjacent co-occurring words with one word in between them. (ex: the\_\_\_is)

They are likely to be information young children are able to track, based on experimental studies.

When tested on realistic child-directed speech, frequent frames do very well at grouping words into clusters which are very similar to actual grammatical categories like Noun and Verb.

Frequent frames could be a very good strategy for children to use.

#### Wang & Mintz 2008: Simulating children using frequent frames

"...the frequent frame analysis procedure proposed by Mintz (2003) was not intended as a model of acquisition, but rather as a demonstration of the information contained in frequent frames in child-directed speech...Mintz (2003) did not address the question of whether an actual learner could detect and use frequent frames to categorize words..."



#### Wang & Mintz 2008: Simulating children using frequent frames

"This paper addresses this question with the investigation of a computational model of frequent frame detection that incorporates more psychologically plausible assumptions about the memor[y] resources of learners."

Computational model: a program that simulates the mental processes occurring in a child. This requires knowing what the input and output are, and then testing the algorithms that can take the given input and transform it into the desired output.

#### Wang & Mintz (2008): Considering Children's Limitations

#### Memory Considerations

- (1) Children possess limited memory and cognitive capacity and cannot track all the occurrences of all the frames in a corpus.
- (2) Memory retention is not perfect: infrequent frames may be forgotten.

The Model's Operation

- (1) Only 150 frame types (and their frequencies) are held in memory
- (2) Forgetting function: frames that have not been encountered recently are less likely to stay in memory than frames that have been recently encountered

#### Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

- (1) Child encounters an utterance (e.g. "You read the story to mommy.")
- (2) Child segments the utterance into frames:

read X	the the	story	to	mommy.
read	X	story	to	
	uie	story	X	mommy
	- 4	41	4	
read_	story	, the	_to, s	storymommy
	X read	X the read X the	X the read X story the X story	X the read X story the X to

Wang & Min	tz (2008): How the model works
	full, a newly-encountered frame is added to the its initial activation is set to 1.
Memory	Activation
	Processing Step 1



The forgetting function is simulated by the activation for each frame in memory decreasing by 0.0075 after each processing step.

Memory you\_\_\_the Activation 0.9925

Forgetting function

#### Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

When a new frame is encountered, the updating depends on whether the memory is already full or not. If it is not and the frame has not already been encountered, the new frame is added to the memory with activation 1.

Memory read\_\_\_story you\_\_the Activation 1.0 0.9925

Processing Step 2 (read\_\_\_story)

#### Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

When a new frame is encountered, the updating depends on whether the memory is already full or not. If it is not and the frame has not already been encountered, the new frame is added to the memory with activation 1.

Memory read\_\_\_story you\_\_\_the

Activation 0.9925 0.9850

When a new frame is encountered, the updating depends on whether the memory is already full or not. If it is not and the frame has not already been encountered, the new frame is added to the memory with activation 1.

Memory the\_\_\_to read\_\_\_story you\_\_\_the Activation 1.0 0.9925 0.9850

Processing step 3 (the\_\_\_to)

#### Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

When a new frame is encountered, the updating depends on whether the memory is already full or not. If it is not and the frame has not already been encountered, the new frame is added to the memory with activation 1.

Memory the\_\_\_to read\_\_\_story you\_\_\_the Activation 0.9925 0.9850 0.9775

Forgetting function

#### Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

When a new frame is encountered, the updating depends on whether the memory is already full or not. If it is not and the frame has not already been encountered, the new frame is added to the memory with activation 1.

Memory story\_\_\_mommy the\_\_\_to read\_\_\_story you\_\_the Activation 1.0 0.9925 0.9850 0.9775

Processing step 4 (story\_\_\_\_mommy)

#### Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

When a new frame is encountered, the updating depends on whether the memory is already full or not. If it is not and the frame has not already been encountered, the new frame is added to the memory with activation 1.

Memory story\_\_\_mommy the\_\_\_to read\_\_\_story you\_\_the Activation 0.9925 0.9850 0.9775 0.9700

If the frame is already in memory because it was already encountered, activation for that frame increases by 1.

Memory			
story_	mommy		
the	_to		
read_	story		
you	_the		

Activation 0.9925 0.9850 0.9775 0.9700

Processing step 5: (you\_\_\_\_the)

#### Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

If the frame is already in memory because it was already encountered, activation for that frame increases by 1.

Memory		
story_	mommy	
the	_to	
read_	story	
you	the	

Activation 0.9925 0.9850 0.9775 1.9700

Processing step 5: (you\_\_\_\_the)

#### Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

If the frame is already in memory because it was already encountered, activation for that frame increases by 1.

Memory you\_\_\_the story\_\_\_mommy the\_\_to read\_\_\_story Activation 1.9700 0.9925 0.9850 0.9775

Processing step 5: (you\_\_\_\_the)

#### Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

If the frame is already in memory because it was already encountered, activation for that frame increases by 1.

Memory you\_\_\_the story\_\_\_mommy the\_\_\_to read\_\_\_story Activation 1.9625 0.9850 0.9775 0.9700

Eventually, since the memory only holds 150 frames, the memory will become full.

Memory	Activation
story mommy	4.6925
theto	3.9850
readstory	3.9700
youthe	2.6925
shehim	0.9850
weit	0.7500

Memory after processing step 200

# Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

At this point, if a frame not already in memory is encountered, it replaces the frame with the least activation, as long as that activation is less than 1.0.

Memory	Activation	
storymommy	4.6925	
theto	3.9850	
readstory	3.9700	
youthe	2.6925	
shehim	0.9850	
weit	0.7500	
Processing step 201: becausesaid		

#### Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

At this point, if a frame not already in memory is encountered, it replaces the frame with the least activation, as long as that activation is less than 1.0.

Memory	Activation
storymommy	4.6925
theto	3.9850
read story	3.9700
youthe	2.6925
shehim	0.9850
wo_it	0.7500

## Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

At this point, if a frame not already in memory is encountered, it replaces the frame with the least activation, as long as that activation is less than 1.

Memory	Activation	
story mommy	4.6925	
the to	3.9850	
readstory	3.9700	
youthe	2.6925	
becausesaid	1.0000	
shehim	0.9850	
Processing step 201: becausesaid		

Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works	
Eventually, however, all the frames in memory will have been encountered often enough that their activations are greater than 1.	
Memory storymommy theto readstory youthe	Activation 9.6925 8.9850 8.9700 5.6925
 weher shehim	3.9700 2.9850
Memory after processing step 5000	

# Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works At this point, no change is made to memory since the new frame's activation of 1 would be less than the least active frame in memory. Memory Activation story\_\_\_\_\_mommy story\_\_\_\_\_mommy 9.6925 the\_\_\_\_to 8.9850 read\_\_\_\_story 8.9700 you\_\_\_\_the 5.6925

3.9700

2.9850

Processing step 5001 (because\_\_\_him)

we\_\_\_her she him

### Wang & Mintz (2008): How the model works

The forgetting function is then invoked.

Memory story\_\_\_mommy the\_\_\_to read\_\_\_story you\_\_\_the ... we\_\_\_her

she\_\_\_him

Activation 9.6850 8.9775 8.9625 5.6850 ... 3.9625

2.9775



#### Wang & Mintz (2008): Conclusions

"...our model demonstrates very effective categorization of words. Even with limited and imperfect memory, the learning algorithm can identify highly informative contexts after processing a relatively small number of utterances, thus yield[ing] a high accuracy of word categorization. It also provides evidence that frames are a robust cue for categorizing words."

#### Wang & Mintz (2008): Recap

- While Mintz (2003) showed that frequent frame information is useful for categorization, it did not demonstrate that children - who have constraints like limited memory and cognitive processing power - would be able to effectively use this information.
- Wang & Mintz (2008) showed that a model using frequent frames in a psychologically plausible way (that is, a way that children might identify and use frequent frames) was able to have the same success at identifying the grammatical category that a word is.

#### Questions?



Use this time to work on HW2 and the review questions