#### Psych 156A/ Ling 150: Acquisition of Language II

Lecture 12 Poverty of the Stimulus I

#### Announcements

Review questions available for poverty of the stimulus

Be working on HW3 (due: 5/29/12)

Pick up your HW1 if you haven't already done so

#### About Language

One way to think about how to classify the knowledge that you have when you know a language:

You know what items (sounds, words, sentences, questions, etc.) are part of the language. You can tell whether or not a given item is grammatical in the language.

Hoggle is definitely an ornery dwarf. [grammatical] \* Hoggle an dwarf definitely ornery is. [ungrammatical]



#### About Language

One way to think about how to classify the knowledge that you have when you know a language:

You know what items (sounds, words, sentences, questions, etc.) are part of the language. You can tell whether or not a given item is grammatical in the language.

Hoggle is definitely an ornery dwarf. [part of English] \* Hoggle an dwarf definitely ornery is. [not part of English]



#### About Language

One way to think about how to classify the knowledge that you have when you know a language:

You know what items (sounds, words, sentences, questions, etc.) are part of the language. You can tell whether or not a given item is grammatical in the language.

The reason you can do this is because you know the rules & patterns that generate the items that are part of the language. (mental grammar)

#### About Children Learning Language

Adult knowledge: rules & patterns that generate the items that are part of the language. (mental grammar)

The child's job: figure out the rules that generate the items that belong in the language and that don't generate items that don't belong in the language.

For example, the child wants rules to generate "Hoggle is definitely an ornery dwarf" but not \* "Hoggle an dwarf definitely ornery is".





























#### 5





#### Specific Example: Yes/No Question Formation

Jareth can alter time.



To turn the sentence into a yes/ no question, move the auxiliary verb ("can") to the front. Other examples of auxiliary verbs: could, should, might, would, will, did, do, may

The child's task: figure out a rule that will form yes/no questions from their corresponding sentences.

#### Specific Example: Yes/No Question Formation

Jareth can alter time. Can Jareth alter time? Rule?

Specific Example: Yes/No Question Formation			
Jareth can alter time. Can Jareth alter time?	Rule: Move first auxiliary?		

Specific Example: Yes/No Question Formation		
Jareth can alter time. Can Jareth alter time?	Rule: Move first auxiliary?	
	ay their brother would be tempted to do it. sh away their brother be tempted to do it?	

#### Specific Example: Yes/No Question Formation

Jareth can alter time. Can Jareth alter time? Rule: Move first auxiliary?

Γ

Rule? Anyone who <u>can</u> wish away their brother would be tempted to do it. Would anyone who <u>can</u> wish away their brother be tempted to do it?

Specific Example: Yes/No Question Formation		
Jareth can alter time.  Rule: Move first auxiliary?    Can Jareth alter time?		
Rule: Move last auxiliary?		
Anyone who <u>can</u> wish away their brother would be tempted to do it. Would anyone who <u>can</u> wish away their brother be tempted to do it?		



Specific Exampl	le: Yes/No Question Formation
Jareth can alter time. Can Jareth alter time?	Rule: Move first auxiliary?
	Rule: Move last auxiliary?
	way their brother would be tempted to do it. wish away their brother be tempted to do it? Rule???
can't how.	e the labyrinth can show someone else who solve the labyrinth show someone else who

Specific Example: Yes/No Question Formation		
Jareth can alter time.  Rule: Move first auxiliary?		
Rule: Move last auxiliary?		
Anyone who <u>can</u> wish away their brother would be tempted to do it. Would anyone who <u>can</u> wish away their brother be tempted to do it? Rule???		
Someone who <u>can</u> solve the labyrinth can show someone else who <u>can't</u> how. Can someone who <u>can</u> solve the labyrinth show someone else who can't how?		
Need a rule that is compatible with <i>all</i> of these, since they're all grammatical English guestions.		

#### Specific Example: Yes/No Question Formation

Jareth can alter time. Can Jareth alter time?

Anyone who <u>can</u> wish away their brother would be tempted to do it. Would anyone who <u>can</u> wish away their brother be tempted to do it?

Someone who  $\underline{can}$  solve the labyrinth can show someone else who  $\underline{can't}$  how.

Can someone who  $\underline{can}$  solve the labyrinth show someone else who  $\underline{can't}$  how?

Idea: Try looking at the sentence structure, not just the linear order of the words in the sentences.



? descriptive sentences that are part of the main clause

Anyone who can wish away their brother would be tempted to do it. Would anyone who can wish away their brother be tempted to do it?

Someone who can solve the labyrinth can show someone else who can't how.

Can someone who can solve the labyrinth show someone else who can't how?

Idea: Try looking at the sentence structure, not just the linear order of the words in the sentences.



Let's look just at the main clauses in these examples

#### Specific Example: Yes/No Question Formation

Jareth can alter time. Can Jareth alter time?

Anyone would be tempted to do it. Would anyone be tempted to do it?

Someone can show someone else how. Can someone show someone else how?

Let's look just at the main clauses in these examples

#### Specific Example: Yes/No Question Formation

Jareth can alter time. Can Jareth alter time?

Anyone would be tempted to do it. Would anyone be tempted to do it?

Someone can show someone else how. Can someone show someone else how?

Rule that works for all of these examples (and all English examples): Move the auxiliary verb in the main clause to make a yes/no question.

This is a rule dependent on the structure of the sentences, since it refers to "main clause".

#### Children's Knowledge

Children seem to know this rule by the age of 3. (Crain & Nakayama 1987)

Learning problem: Children don't encounter all the examples we saw. They encounter a subset of the possible yes/no questions in English.

Most of the data they encounter (particularly before the age of 3) consists of simple yes/no questions.

Jareth can alter time. Can Jareth alter time?

Learning Difficulties: Yes/No Questions
The problem is that these simple yes/no questions are compatible with a lot of different rules.
Rule: Move first auxiliary?      Jareth can alter time.      Can Jareth alter time?      Rule: Move last auxiliary?      Rule: Move main clause auxiliary?
Rule: Move auxiliary in even-numbered position in sentence?
Rule: Move auxiliary closest to a noun?

#### Learning Difficulties: Yes/No Questions

Rational learner prediction: if children considered all these hypotheses, they should make mistakes on more complex yes/no questions. Let's look at two hypotheses in detail.

Rule: Move first auxiliary?

Rule: Move main clause auxiliary?

#### Learning Difficulties: Yes/No Questions

The girl who can solve the labyrinth is happy.

Predictions of questions generated

Rule: Move first auxiliary?

\* Can the girl who solve the labyrinth is happy?



3 years old don't make these mistakes. They use the right rule for this complex yes/no question. Predictions of questions generated Rule: Move first auxiliary? \* Can the girl who solve the labyrinth is happy? Rule: Move main clause auxiliary? Is the girl who can solve the labyrinth happy?

Learning Difficulties: Yes/No Questions Crain & Nakayama (1987) showed that children as young as





#### Learning Difficulties: Yes/No Questions

It is this structure-dependent learning bias that allows children to generalize the correct way from "impoverished" data.

Nativists say: Children constrain their generalizations in a specific way, based on their innate knowledge. (But it may be domain-specific knowledge about language or domain-general knowledge.)

Linguistic nativists say: Children constrain their generalizations in a specific way, based on their innate knowledge of language.



## Another example of children's constrained generalization

Crain & McKee (1985): pronoun interpretation

While he danced around the throne room, Jareth smiled.

(Adults: he = Jareth) (Children: he = Jareth)

#### Another example of children's constrained generalization Crain & McKee (1985): pronoun interpretation

While he danced around the throne room, Jareth smiled. (he = Jareth)

Jareth smiled while he danced around the throne room.



# Another example of children's constrained generalization



Crain & McKee (1985): pronoun interpretation

While Jareth danced around the throne room, he smiled. (Adults: he = Jareth) (Children: he = Jareth)

### Another example of children's constrained generalization Crain & McKee (1985): pronoun interpretation While Jareth danced around the throne room, he smiled. (he = Jareth) He smiled while Jareth danced around the throne room.

# Another example of children's constrained generalization

Crain & McKee (1985): pronoun interpretation

While Jareth danced around the throne room, he smiled. (he = Jareth)

He smiled while Jareth danced around the throne room. (Adults: he ≠ Jareth)



#### Another example of children's constrained generalization Crain & McKee (1985): pronoun interpretation While Jareth danced around the throne room, he smiled. (he = Jareth) He smiled while Jareth danced around the throne

He smiled while Jareth danced around the thro room. (Adults: he ≠ Jareth) (Children: he ≠ Jareth)

Answer: Prior knowledge about interpreting pronouns in sentences. This constraint is structure-dependent, it turns out.



Another example of children's constrained generalization

Crain & McKee (1985): Summary

While he danced around the throne room, Jareth smiled. (he = Jareth)

Jareth smiled while he danced around the throne room. (he = Jareth)

While Jareth danced around the throne room, he smiled. (he = Jareth)

He smiled while Jareth danced around the throne room. (he ≠ Jareth)

# Another example of children's constrained generalization

The point: Children generalize only in a very specific way. In particular, they don't just generalize everything that they can. Their generalizations appear to be constrained.

Nativist idea for how their generalizations/hypotheses are constrained: innate knowledge.

Linguistic nativist idea for how their generalizations/ hypotheses are constrained: innate knowledge about language.

#### Poverty of the Stimulus leads to Prior Knowledge about Language: Summary of Logic

- 1) Suppose there are some data.
- 2) Suppose there is at least one incorrect hypothesis compatible with the data.
- 3) Suppose children behave as if they never entertain incorrect hypotheses.
- Conclusion: Children possess prior (innate) knowledge ruling out the incorrect hypotheses from consideration.

Questions?



You should be able to do up through question 11 on the review questions and up through question 2 on HW3