

Computational Problem:
Figure out the order of words (syntax)


Depends on grammatical categories like Nouns and Verbs (and their associated phrases (NP)), but also on more precise distinctions like Subjects and Objects.

Some Noun Phrase distinctions:
Subject = usually the agent/actor of the action, "doer": Jareth Object = usually the recipient of the action, "done to": crystals

## Announcements

Please pick up HW1 and HW2 if you haven't done so yet

HW3 is due by the end of class today

Review questions are available for structure

Online course evaluations are available for this class please fill them out! :)

Computational Problem:
Figure out the order of words (syntax)


Jareth juggles crystals Subject Verb Object

Important idea: The observable word order speakers produce (like Subject Object Verb) is the result of a system of word order rules that speakers unconsciously use when they speak. This system of word order rules is called syntax.

## Computational Problem:

Figure out the order of words (syntax)


Jareth juggles crystals
Subject Verb Object

One way to generate Subject Verb Object order:
The linguistic system specifies that order as the general pattern of the language. An example of this kind of system is English.

English Subject Verb Object

## Computational Problem:

Figure out the order of words (syntax)


Jareth juggles crystals
Subject Verb Object

Another way to generate Subject Verb Object order:
The linguistic system specifies Subject Object Verb as the general
pattern, but the Verb in main clauses moves to the second position and some other phrase (like the Subject) moves to the first position. An example language like this is German.

German


## Computational Problem:

Figure out the order of words (syntax)


Jareth juggles crystals
Subject Verb Object

Another way to generate Subject Verb Object order:
The linguistic system specifies Subject Object Verb as the general pattern, but the Verb in main clauses moves to the second position and some other phrase (like the Subject) moves to the first position. An example language like this is German.

## German

Subject Object Verb

## Computational Problem:

Figure out the order of words (syntax)


Jareth juggles crystals Subject Verb Object

Another way to generate Subject Verb Object order:
The linguistic system specifies Subject Object Verb as the general pattern, but the Verb in main clauses moves to the second position and some other phrase (like the Subject) moves to the first position. An example language like this is German.

German


## Computational Problem:

Figure out the order of words (syntax)


Jareth juggles crystals
Subject Verb Object

A third way to generate Subject Verb Object order
The linguistic system specifies Subject Object Verb as the general pattern, but the Object moves after the Verb in certain contexts (the Object is unexpected information). Kannada is a language like this.

## Kannada Subject Object Verb

## Computational Problem:

Figure out the order of words (syntax)


English Subject Verb Object


The learning problem: How do children know which system their language uses?

## Computational Problem:

Figure out the order of words (syntax)


Jareth juggles crystals
Subject Verb Object

A third way to generate Subject Verb Object order:
The linguistic system specifies Subject Object Verb as the general pattern, but the Object moves after the Verb in certain contexts (the Object is unexpected information). Kannada is a language like this.

Kannada


Computational Problem:
Figure out the order of words (syntax)


English Subject Verb Object Subject Verb Object


Kannada Subject object Verb Object
This is a hard question!
Children only see the output of the system (the observable word order of Subject Verb Object).


Translation is not so easy： more than just word－by－word
http：／／www．worldlingo．com／en／products＿services／worldlingo＿translator．html

Translation（Japanesese）：

这城に私の方法がことに載った。

Original（Englist）：
Through dangers untold and hardships
unnumbered，I have fought my way here to the
castle beyond the goblin city to take back the
child you have stolen．


Translation is not so easy： more than just word－by－word

http：／／www．nbc．com／nbc／The＿Tonight＿Show＿with＿Jay＿Leno／headlines／

| Translation is not so easy： more than just word－by－word <br> http：／／www．worldlingo．com／en／products＿services／worldlingo＿translator．html |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Translation（Japanese）： | Transiation（English）： |
|  | My method fought here in the castle which exceeds the small demoniac city danger and the number which are not distinct it is not depending upon me with difficultly recovers the child whom you steal． |
| Original（English）： <br> Through dangers untold and hardships unnumbered，I have fought my way here to the castle beyond the goblin city to take back the child you have stolen． | Original（Japanese）： |
|  | 汉り戻す图薮によって私によっては小覀家都市を越え る城に私の方法がここに載った。 |
| Word－by－word translation to Japanese is poor．Japanese structure is very different from English structure at this level． |  |


| Translation is not so easy: more than just word-by-word <br> http://www.worldlingo.com/en/products_services/worldlingo_translator.html |
| :---: |
|  |
| Through dangers untold and hardships <br> unnumbered, I have fought my way here to the child you have stolen. |


| Translation more than jus <br> http://www.worldlingo.com/en/products | ot so easy: ord-by-word <br> vices/worldlingo_translator.html |
| :---: | :---: |
| Transiation (Russian): | Transiation (English): |
| Yepez untold n hardships onacностей незанумерованные, я воевал мою дорогу здесь к замоку за городом goblin принять назад јебенка, котор вы крали. | Through untold and hardships of dangers unnumbered, I warred my road here to [zamoku] after the city of goblin to accept back child, you was which they stole |
| Original (Engolish): | Original (Russian): |
| Through dangers untold and hardships unnumbered, I have fought my way here to the castle beyond the goblin city to take back the child you have stolen. |  |
| Translation is not as poor. Russian structure is not as different from English structure at this level, though it is still different. |  |


| Translation is not so easy: more than just word-by-word <br> http://www.worldlingo.com/en/products_services/worldlingo_translator.html |
| :---: |
| Pative |
| Orgina (Empish <br> Throug deangers untold and harcsthics anside berat inveruoght $m y$ way here to the Ahlut that rou have stolen |


Solving the Language Problem
(Artificial Intelligence)
HAL 9000 from 2001: A Space Odyssey (1968)
Perfect production and comprehension of
English.
1960s: Language not considered one of the "hard" problems of artificial
intelligence.
2010: Still not very close to human-like performance.
http://www.research.att.com/~ttsweb/tts/demo.php

## Solving the Language Problem (Artificial Intelligence)

Contrast: Chess-playing.
In 1997, a program named Deep Blue beat the reigning world champion in chess. It did this by having enough computational resources to investigate every move option before it actually made the chess move. This shows that computers' poor performance on language is not about insufficient computational power, since there is enough computational power to solve the chess-playing problem (which some people might consider a very difficult problem).

## Solving the Language Problem

(Artificial Intelligence)
Update for 2011 on a machine's abilities to do what humans do:
Man vs. Machine (Watson) in Jeopardy
\& how hard a problem language comprehension and production is
http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dr71xQeXr7g
(approximately 9 min video)

$\quad$ Types of Variation

| Vocabulary |
| :--- |
| English "think" verbs: think, know, wonder, suppose, assume, ... |
| Multiple types of the action verb "think". Each has certain uses that |
| are appropriate. |
| "I wonder whether the girl saved her little brother from the |
| goblins." [grammatical] |
| * "I suppose whether the girl saved her little brother from the |
| goblins." [ungrammatical] |

Navajo Code Talker Paradox (Baker 2001)


English must be very different from Navajo
Japanese could decode English, but couldn't decode Navajo when they didn't know it was Navajo.

English must be similar to Navajo
English can be translated into Navajo and back with no loss of meaning. (Languages are not just a product of the culture pastoral Arizona lifestyle couldn't have prepared the code talkers for Pacific Island high tech warfare. Yet, translation was still possible.)


## Types of Variation

Sounds: Each language uses a particular subset of the sounds in the International Phonetic Alphabet, which represents all the sounds used in all human languages. There's often overlap (ex: " $m$ ", " $p$ " are used in many languages), but languages also may make use of the less common sounds.
less common English sounds: "th" $\theta$ "th" б
less common Navajo sounds: "whispered l", "nasalized a", ...


## Types of Variation

Morphology (word forms)
English: invariant word forms
"the girl is crying", "I am crying"
Navajo: no invariant forms (there may be 100-200 prefixes for verb stems)

At'ééd yicha. "Girl crying"
Yishcha. "I am crying"
(yi + sh + cha)
Ninááhwiishdlaad. "I am again plowing" (ni + náá + ho + hi + sh + I + dlaad)



## Similarities \& Differences: Parameters

Chomsky: Different combinations of different basic elements (parameters) would yield the observable languages (similar to the way different combinations of different basic elements in chemistry yield many different-seeming substances).

Big Idea: A relatively small number of syntax parameters yields a large number of different languages' syntactic systems.
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## Similarities \& Differences: Parameters

Chomsky: Different combinations of different basic elements (parameters) would yield the observable languages (similar to the way different combinations of different basic elements in chemistry yield many different-seeming substances).

Big Idea: A relatively small number of syntax parameters yields a large number of different languages' syntactic systems.


## Similarities \& Differences: Parameters

Chomsky: Different combinations of different basic elements (parameters) would yield the observable languages (similar to the way different combinations of different basic elements in chemistry yield many different-seeming substances).

Big Idea: A relatively small number of syntax parameters yields a large number of different languages' syntactic systems.


Total
languages that can be
represented = $2^{5}=32$


## Similarities \& Differences: Parameters

Big Idea: A relatively small number of syntax parameters yields a large number of different languages' syntactic systems.


## Learning Language Structure

Chomsky: Children are born knowing the parameters of variation. This is part of Universal Grammar. Input from the native linguistic environment determines what values these parameters should have.


## Learning Language Structure

Chomsky: Children are born knowing the parameters of variation. This is part of Universal Grammar. Input from the native linguistic environment determines what values these parameters should have.



Greenberg's Word Order Generalizations
Navajo Japanese

Greenberg's Word Order Generalizations

Navajo
Basic word order: Subject Object Verb

Ashkii at'ééd yiyiiltsá
boy girl saw
"The boy saw the girl"

Japanese
Basic word order:
Subject Object Verb
Jareth-ga Hoggle-o butta
Jareth Hoggle hit
"Jareth hit Hoggle"

| Greenberg's Word Order Generalizations |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Navajo | Japanese |
| Postpositions: | Postpositions: |
| Noun Phrase Postposition | Noun Phrase Postposition |
| $\begin{array}{lll} \text { ‘éé’ } & \text { biih } & \text { náásdzá } \\ \text { clothing } & \text { into } & \text { l-got-back } \end{array}$ | Jareth-ga Sarah to kuruma da Jareth Sarah with car by |
|  | London ni itta London to went |
|  | "Jareth went to London with Sarah by car." |


| Greenberg's Word Order Generalizations |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Navajo | Japanese |
| Basic word order: Basic word order: <br> Subject Object Verb Subject Object Verb <br> Postpositions: Postpositions: <br> Noun Phrase Postposition Noun Phrase Postposition <br> Possessor before Possessed <br> Possessor Possession Possessor before Possessed <br> Possessor Possession <br> Despite the differences in the languages (and their cultural <br> histories), both Japanese and Navajo are very similar when <br> viewed through these three structural descriptions.  <br>  . |  |


| Greenberg's Word Order Generalizations <br> Navajo |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Possessor before Possessed Japanese <br> Possessor Possession Possessor before Possessed <br> Chidi bi-jáád <br> Car its-leg <br> "the car's wheel" Possessor Possession <br>  Toby's sister |  |
|  |  |

## Greenberg's Word Order Generalizations

$\left.\begin{array}{|cc|}\hline \text { Greenberg's Word Order Generalizations } \\ \text { English } & \text { Edo (Nigeria) } \\ \begin{array}{ll}\text { Basic word order: } \\ \text { Subject Verb Object } \\ \text { Sarah found Toby }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Basic word order: } \\ \text { Subject Verb Object }\end{array} \\ \text { Òzó mién Adésuwá } \\ \text { Ozo found Adesuwa }\end{array}\right]$

| Greenberg's Word Order Generalizations |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| English | Edo (Nigeria) |
| Possessed before Possessor | Possessed before Possessor |
| Possession Possessor | Possession Possessor |
| quest of Sarah | Omo Ozó <br> child Ozo <br> (alternative: Sarah's quest) |


| Greenberg's Word Order Generalizations |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| English | Edo (Nigeria) |
| Prepositions:  <br> Preposition Noun Phrase Prepositions: <br> Preposition Noun Phrase <br> Jareth gave the crystal to Sarah Òzó rhié néné ebé né Adésuwá <br> Ozo gave the book to Adesuwa |  |


| Greenberg's Word Order Generalizations |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| English | Edo (Nigeria) |
| Basic word order:  <br> Subject Verb Object Basic word order: <br> Prepositions: <br> Preposition Noun Phrase Prepositions: <br> Possessed before Possessor <br> Possession Possessor <br> Again, despite the differences in the languages (and their cultural <br> histories), both English and Edo are very similar when viewed <br> through these three structural descriptions.$\quad$Possessed before Possessor <br> Possession Possessor |  |


| Greenberg's Word Order Generalizations |
| :--- |
| Greenberg found forty-five "universals" of languages - patterns |
| overwhelmingly followed by languages with unshared history |
| (Navajo \& Japanese, English \& Edo) |
| Not all combinations are possible - some patterns rarely appear |
| Ex: Subject Verb Object language (English/Edo-like) + |
| postpositions (Navajo/Japanese-like) |
| Moral: Languages may be more similar than they first appear |
| "on the surface", especially if we consider their structural |
| properties. |


| One potential parameter |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| English | Italian |
| Subject Verb | Subject Verb Jareth verrá Jareth will-come |
| "Jareth will come." grammatical | "Jareth will come." grammatical |


| One potential parameter |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| English | Italian |
| *Verb Subject *Will arrive Jareth | Verb Subject Verrá Jareth Will-arrive Jareth |
| ungrammatical | "Jareth will arrive" grammatical |


| One potential parameter |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| English | Italian |
| *Verb | Verb |
|  | Verrá |
| Will come | He-will-come |
|  | "He will come" |
| ungrammatical | grammatical |


| One potential parameter |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| English | Italian |
| Subject Verb | Subject Verb |
| *Verb Subject | Verb Subject |
| *Verb | Verb |
| These word or They involve th grammatical in the prevalence | ght be fairly easy to notice. of Subject and Verb that are A child might be able to notice ns and the absence of others. |


| One potential parameter |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Expletive subjects: words without content <br> (may be more difficult to notice) <br> English | Italian |
| Raining. | Piove. <br> "It-rains. <br> "It's raining." |
| Not okay to leave out <br> expletive subject "it". | Okay to leave out <br> expletive subject "it". |


| One potential parameter <br> That-trace effect for subject questions <br> English <br> Who do you think (*that) will come? <br> Requires no "that" in embedded clause, <br> despite allowing "that" in declaratives and <br> object questions <br> I think (that) Hoggle will save Sarah. <br> Who did you think (that) Hoggle would save? |
| :--- |


| One potential parameter |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| English <br> Subject Verb <br> *Verb Subject <br> *Verb <br> Not okay to leave out <br> expletive subject "it". <br> Requires special action for <br> embedded subject <br> questions. | Italian <br> Subject Verb <br> Verb Subject <br> Verb <br> Okay to leave out expletive subject "it". <br> Does not require special action for embedded subject questions. |
| All these involve the subject in some way - coincidence? Idea: No! There's a language parameter involving the subject. |  |



## The Value of Parameters: Learning the Hard Stuff by Noticing the Easy Patterns

English vs. Italian: Subject Parameter
Big idea: If all these structural patterns are generated from the same linguistic parameter (e.g. a "subject" parameter), then children can learn the hard-to-notice patterns (like the patterns of embedded subject questions) by being exposed to the easy-tonotice patterns (like the optional use of subjects with verbs). The hard-to-notice patterns are generated by one setting of the parameter, which children can learn from the easy-to-notice patterns.

Children's knowledge of language structure variation is believed by linguistic nativists to be part of Universal Grammar, which children are born with.

## Another possible parameter

Syntax: the Head Directionality parameter (Baker 2001, Cook \& Newson 1996): heads of phrases (ex: Nouns of Noun Phrases, Verbs of Verb Phrases, Prepositions of Preposition Phrases) are consistently in either the leftmost or rightmost position

Japanese/Navajo: Head-Last


Postpositions:
Noun Phrase Postposition


Object postposition


