Psych 156A/ Ling 150: Acquisition of Language II

Lecture 5 Sounds of Words

Announcements

- Be working on HW1 (due 4/19/12)
- Be working on review questions for sounds and sounds of words
- Read Saffran, Aslin, & Newport (1996) for next time





























What's going on?

They fail specifically when the task requires word-learning

They *do* know the sounds...but they fail to use the detail needed for minimal pairs to store words in memory

What's going on?

- Is this true for all words?
- When do they learn to do this?
- What triggers the ability to do this?

What children may be doing



One idea: Encode detail only if necessary

If children have small vocabularies, it may not take so much detail to distinguish one word from another. (*baby, cookie, mormmy, daddy…*)

Neighborhood structure idea: When a child knows two words that differ only by a single phoneme (like "cat" and "bat"), more attention to detail is required to distinguish them.

Prediction: The content of children's vocabulary drives their ability to notice the difference between words that differ minimally (ex: by a single phoneme)

















More vocabulary = more necessary distinctions

Werker et al. 2002: Performance on Stager-Werker task with novel words depends on how many words the child knows.

Implication: The content of children's vocabulary drives their ability to notice the difference between words that differ minimally (ex: by a single phoneme)

Prediction: This should apply to familiar words too. Specifically, children with small vocabularies should have trouble noticing phonemic differences in familiar words.

Swingley & Aslin 2002: Familiar Word Tests

But English 14-month-olds noticed the difference between correct pronunciations and mispronunciations when the words were familiar!

 Table 1. Correctly pronounced (CP) target words and their mispronounced (MP) versions

CP	MP-close	MP-distant
apple (/æpl/)	opple (/apl/)	opal (/opl/)
baby (/be ¹ bi/)	vaby (/velbi/)	raby (/.ie ⁱ bi/)
ball (/bɔl/)	gall (/gɔl/)	shawl (/ʃɔl/)
car (/ka.ɪ/)	cur (/k3·/)	kier (/ki./)
dog (/dog/)	tog (/tɔg/)	mog (/mog/)
kitty (/ktti/)	pity (/pɪti/)	yitty (/jɪti/)

Maybe these 14-month-olds just happen to have large vocabularies?

Swingley 2005: Familiar Words for Younger Children (Dutch) 11-month-olds noticed the difference between correct pronunciations and mispronunciations when the words were familiar (Headturn Procedure: tests ability to hear sound differences) Tamiliar Nonword Onset-MP be/ bak de/ bay hefn sa/ hont ho font bot font sa/ bot font sa/ paft paft daft paft paft daft pus su sa/ vs vafnt vs

Swingley 2005: Familiar Words for Younger Children

(Dutch) 11-month-olds noticed the difference between correct pronunciations and mispronunciations when the words were familiar (Headturn Procedure: tests ability to hear sound differences)

But this is before they've likely learned many words...so it probably isn't just the number of words they know (and which words they know) that drives the detailed representations of the sounds in the words.

Point: Vocabulary can't be the only thing determining children's ability to distinguish the sounds of words. So what's the problem with the 14-month-olds in the Stager-Werker task?

Was the task too hard for 14-month-olds?

Yoshida, Fennell, Swingley, & Werker (2009)

Maybe the problem with the 14-month-old infants was that the switch task was too hard - they have to be very confident that the close mispronunciation of the new word (*dih* for novel word *bih*) is not actually close enough

What would happen if we habituated 14-month-old children the usual way for the Switch procedure, but then tested them a different way that didn't require them to be as confident about the correct pronunciation of a word's form?





The problem with the Stager-Werker Task

Maybe the problem with the 14-month-olds in the Stager-Werker task was that they encoded the phonetic forms with low confidence. So, when tested on the original switch task, they didn't have enough confidence in their representation of the novel form to realize it was the wrong label for the novel object.

Yoshida et al. 2009: "Calling a *din* object by the word *bin* is not good pronunciation to the 14-month-old, but neither is it categorically incorrect."





