
Announcements
HW1 due today by the end of class
HW2 now available (not due till after midterm)
Review questions on word segmentation now available
Midterm review: in class on 5/3/12
Midterm: during class on 5/8/12

| Computational Problem |
| :---: |
| Divide spoken speech into individual words |
| tuðəkǽsəlbijándðəgáblınsíri |
|  |


| Computational Problem |
| :---: |
| Divide spoken speech into individual words |
| tuðəkǽsəlbijándðəgáblinsíri |
| tu ðə kǽsəl bijánd ðə gáblin síri |
| to the castle beyond the goblin city |

## Word Segmentation

"One task faced by all language learners is the segmentation of fluent speech into words. This process is particularly difficult because word boundaries in fluent speech are marked inconsistently by discrete acoustic events such as pauses...it is not clear what information is used by infants to discover word boundaries...there is no invariant cue to word boundaries present in all languages."

- Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport (1996)


## Segmentation mistakes from children

- Two dults
- [Two adults]
- I don't want to go to your ami!
- [I don't want to go to Miami]
- I am being have!
- [I am behaving!] (in response to "Behave!")
- Oh say can you see by the donzerly light?
- [Oh say can you see by the dawn's early light?]

Pauses between words don't really happen

Word boundaries are not necessarily evident in the acoustic waveform



## Statistical Information Available

Maybe infants are sensitive to the statistical patterns contained in sequences of sounds.
"Over a corpus of speech there are measurable statistical regularities that distinguish recurring sound sequences that comprise words from the more accidental sound sequences that occur across word boundaries." - Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport (1996)
to the castle beyond the goblin city

- Adults can use top-down information (knowledge of words and the world) to help them with word segmentation.
- What about infants who have none or few words in their vocabulary?



## Statistical Information Available

Maybe infants are sensitive to the statistical patterns contained in sequences of sounds.
"Over a corpus of speech there are measurable statistical regularities that distinguish recurring sound sequences that comprise words from the more accidental sound sequences that occur across word boundaries." - Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport (1996)

Statistical regularity: ca + stle is a common sound sequence to the castle beyond the goblin city

## Statistical Information Available

Maybe infants are sensitive to the statistical patterns contained in sequences of sounds.
"Over a corpus of speech there are measurable statistical regularities that distinguish recurring sound sequences that comprise words from the more accidental sound sequences that occur across word boundaries." - Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport (1996)

No regularity: stle $+b e$ is an accidental sound sequence
to the castle beyond the goblin city
word boundary

## Transitional Probability

"Within a language, the transitional probability from one sound to the next will generally be highest when the two sounds follow one another in a word, whereas transitional probabilities spanning a word boundary will be relatively low." - Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport (1996)

Transitional Probability = Conditional Probability

$$
\operatorname{TrProb}(A B)=\operatorname{Prob}(B \mid A)
$$

Transitional probability of sequence AB is the conditional probability of B, given that A has been encountered.

```
TrProb("gob" "lin") = Prob("lin" | "gob")
```

Read as "the probability of 'lin', given that 'gob' has just been encountered"

## Transitional Probability

"Within a language, the transitional probability from one sound to the next will generally be highest when the two sounds follow one another in a word, whereas transitional probabilities spanning a word boundary will be relatively low." - Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport (1996)

Idea: Prob("stle" | "ca") = high
Why? "ca" is usually followed by "stle"
to the castle beyond the goblin city

## Transitional Probability

"Within a language, the transitional probability from one sound to the next will generally be highest when the two sounds follow one another in a word, whereas transitional probabilities spanning a word boundary will be relatively low."

- Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport (1996)

```
Idea: Prob("be" | "stle") = lower
            Why? "stle" is not usually followed by "be
        to the castle be,yond the goblin city
            word boundary
```


## Transitional Probability

"Within a language, the transitional probability from one sound to the next will generally be highest when the two sounds follow one another in a word, whereas transitional probabilities spanning a word boundary will be relatively low."

- Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport (1996)
$\operatorname{Prob}($ "be" | "stle") < Prob("stle" | "ca")
Prob("be" | "stle") < Prob("yond" | "be")
to the castle beyond the goblin city
TrProb learner posits word boundary here,
at the minimum of the transitional probabilities
Important: doesn't matter what the probability actually is, so long as it's a minimum when compared to the probabilities surrounding it
Transitional Probability
"Within a language, the transitional probability from one
sound to the next will generally be highest when the two
sounds follow one another in a word, whereas transitional
probabilities spanning a word boundary will be relatively low."
- Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport (1996)
Prob("be"" "stle") < Prob("stle" | "ca")
Prob("be" | "stle") < Prob("yond" | "be")
to the cástle beyond the goblin city
TrProb learner posits word boundary here,
at the minimum of the transitional probabilities
Important: doesn't matter what the probability actually is, so long as
it's a minimum when compared to the probabilities surrounding it


## Transitional Probability

"Within a language, the transitional probability from one sound to the next will generally be highest when the two sounds follow one another in a word, whereas transitional probabilities spanning a word boundary will be relatively low."

- Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport (1996)

Prob("yond" | "be") = higher
Why? "be" is commonly followed by "yond", among other options
to the castle beyond the goblin city

Transitional Probability Example

$0.1=$ Transitional probability minimum, compared with surrounding transitional probabilities $(0.5,0.3)$

Word boundary is here
Another Transitional Probability Example

| $0.7=$ Transitional probability minimum, |
| :--- |
| compared with surrounding transitional |
| probabilities (0.8, 0.9) |
| Word boundary is here |

0.8

## 8-month-old statistical learning

## Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport 1996

Familiarization-Preference Procedure (Jusczyk \& Aslin 1995)

Measure of infants' response:
Infants control duration of each test trial by their sustained visual fixation on a blinking light.

Idea: If infants have extracted information (based on transitional probabilities), then they will have different looking times for the different test stimuli.

| 8-month-old statistical learning |
| :--- |
| Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport 1996 |
| Familiarization-Preference Procedure (Jusczyk \& Aslin 1995) |
| Measure of infants' response: |
| Infants control duration of each test trial by their sustained |
| visual fixation on a blinking light. |
| Idea: If infants have extracted information (based on |
| transitional probabilities), then they will have different looking |
| times for the different test stimuli. |

## 8-month-old statistical learning

Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport 1996
Familiarization-Preference Procedure (Jusczyk \& Aslin 1995)
Habituation:
Infants exposed to auditory material that serves as potential learning experience

Test stimuli (tested immediately after familiarization):
(familiar) Items contained within auditory material
(novel) Items not contained within auditory material, but which are nonetheless highly similar to that material

| Artificial Language |
| :--- |
| Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport 1996 |
| Infants were familiarized with a sequence of these words |
| generated by speech synthesizer for 2 minutes. Speaker's |
| voice was female and intonation was monotone. There were |
| no acoustic indicators of word boundaries. |
| Sample monotone speech: © |
| http://whyfiles.org/058language/images/baby_stream.aiff |
| tu pi ro go la bu bi da ku pa do ti go la bu tu pi ro pa do ti... |


| Artificial Language |
| :--- |
| Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport 1996 |
| The only cues to word boundaries were the transitional probabilities |
| between syllables. |
| Within words, transitional probability of syllables = 1.0 |
| Across word boundaries, transitional probability of syllables = 0.33 |
| TrProb("tu" "pi") $=1.0$ |
| tu piro go la bu bi da ku pa do ti go la bu tu pi ro pa do ti... |

## Artificial Language

Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport 1996
The only cues to word boundaries were the transitional probabilities between syllables.
Within words, transitional probability of syllables $=1.0$
Across word boundaries, transitional probability of syllables $=0.33$
tu pi ro go la bu bi da ku pa do ti go la bu tu pi ro pa do ti...

## Artificial Language

Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport 1996
The only cues to word boundaries were the transitional probabilities between syllables.

Within words, transitional probability of syllables $=1.0$
Across word boundaries, transitional probability of syllables $=0.33$

TrProb("tu" "pi") $=1.0=\operatorname{TrProb}($ "go" "la"), TrProb("pa" "do")
tu piro zo abob bi da ku pa do ti go la bu upiro ba dolti...

## Artificial Language

Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport 1996
The only cues to word boundaries were the transitional probabilities between syllables.
Within words, transitional probability of syllables $=1.0$
Across word boundaries, transitional probability of syllables $=0.33$
TrProb("ro" "go") < 1.0 (0.3333...)
tu pi o gola bu bi da ku pa do ti go la bu tu pi ro pa do ti...

## Testing Infant Sensitivity

Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport 1996
Expt 1, test trial:
Each infant presented with repetitions of 1 of 4 words
2 were "real" words
(ex: tupiro, golabu)

2 were "fake" words whose syllables were jumbled up (ex: ropitu, bulago)
tu pi ro go la bu bi da ku pa do ti go la bu tu pi ro pa do ti...

## Artificial Language

Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport 1996
The only cues to word boundaries were the transitional probabilities between syllables.
Within words, transitional probability of syllables $=1.0$
Across word boundaries, transitional probability of syllables $=0.33$
TrProb("ro" "go"), TrProb("ro" "pa") $=0.3333 \ldots<$
$1.0=\operatorname{TrPrb}(" p i "$ ro"), TrProb ("go" "la"), TrProb("pa" "do")
tu pi ofola bu bi da ku pa do ti go la bu tu pi opa lo ti...
word boundary word boundary

| $\quad$ Testing Infant Sensitivity |
| :--- |
| Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport 1996 |
| Expt 1, test trial: <br> Each infant presented with repetitions of 1 of 4 words <br> 2 were "real" words <br> (ex: tupiro, golabu) <br> 2 were "fake" words whose syllables were jumbled up <br> (ex: ropitu, bulago) <br> tu pi ro go la bu bi da ku pa do ti go la bu tu pi ro pa do ti... |

## Testing Infant Sensitivity

Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport 1996
Expt 1, test trial:
Each infant presented with repetitions of 1 of 4 words
(ex: tupio, gabu)
were "fake" words whose syllables were jumbled up (ex: ropitu, bulago)
tu pi ro go la bubi da ku pa do ti go la bu tu pi ro pa do ti...
$\quad$ Testing Infant Sensitivity
Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport 1996
Expt 1, results:
Infants listened longer to novel items (non-words)
(7.97 seconds for real words, 8.85 seconds for non-words)
Implication: Infants noticed the difference between real words and
non-words from the artificial language after only 2 minutes of
listening time!

## Testing Infant Sensitivity

Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport 1996
Expt 2, test trial:
Each infant presented with repetitions of 1 of 4 words
2 were "real" words
(ex: tupiro, golabu)

2 were "part" words whose syllables came from two different words in order
(ex: pirogo, bubida)
tu pi ro go la bu bi da ku pa do ti go la bu tu pi ro pa do ti...

| Testing Infant Sensitivity |
| :--- |
| Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport 1996 |
| Expt 2, test trial: |
| Each infant presented with repetitions of 1 of 4 words |
| 2 were "real" words |
| (ex: tupiro, golabu) |
| 2 were "part" words whose syllables came from two different |
| words in order |
| (ex: pirogo, bubida) |
| tu pi ro go la bu bi da ku pa do ti go la bu tu pi ro pa do ti... |

## Testing Infant Sensitivity

Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport 1996
Expt 1, results:
Infants listened longer to novel items (non-words)
( 7.97 seconds for real words, 8.85 seconds for non-words)

Implication: Infants noticed the difference between real words and non-words from the artificial language after only 2 minutes of listening time!

But why?
Could be that they just noticed a familiar sequence of sounds ("tupiro" familiar while "ropitu" never appeared), and didn't notice the differences in transitional probabilities.

## Testing Infant Sensitivity

Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport 1996
Expt 2, test trial:
Each infant presented with repetitions of 1 of 4 words
2 were "real" words
(ex: tupiro, golabu)

2 were "part" words whose syllables came from two different words in order
(ex: pirogo, bubida)
tu pi ro go la bubi da ku pa do ti go la bu tu pi ro pa do ti...

| $\quad$ Testing Infant Sensitivity |
| :--- |
| Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport 1996 |
| Expt 2, test trial: |
| Each infant presented with repetitions of 1 of 4 words |
| 2 were "real" words |
| (ex: tupiro, golabu) |
| 2 were "part" words whose syllables came from two different <br> words in order <br> (ex: pirogo, bubida) <br> tu pi rolgola butbi da ku pa do ti go la bu tu pi ro pa do ti... |

## Recap: Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport (1996)

Experimental evidence suggests that 8-month-old infants can track statistical information such as the transitional probability between syllables. This can help them solve the task of word segmentation.

Evidence comes from testing children in an artificial language paradigm, with very short exposure time.


## Testing Infant Sensitivity

Saffran, Aslin, \& Newport 1996
Expt 2, results:
Infants listened longer to novel items (part-words)
( 6.77 seconds for real words, 7.60 seconds for part-words)

Implication: Infants noticed the difference between real words and part-words from the artificial language after only 2 minutes of listening time! They are sensitive to the transitional probability information.

## Other useful strategies

In additional to statistical information, infants appear to also use other cues to help them identify words in fluent speech.

- Infants use the prosody (rhythm) of an utterance to help them identify likely boundaries for words (sequences that cross utterance or clause boundaries are less likely to be words). [Gout et al. 2004; Hirsh-Pasek et al. 1987; Jusczyk et al. 1992; Gerken et al. 1994; Nazzi et al. 2000; Seidl 2007]
clause boundary
"I went to the castle beyond the goblin city, which was very hard to get to I saw the goblin king."
utterance boundary


## Other useful strategies

In additional to statistical information, infants appear to also use other cues to help them identify words in fluent speech.

- Infants use the prosody (rhythm) of an utterance to help them identify likely boundaries for words (sequences that cross utterance or clause boundaries are less likely to be words). [Gout et al. 2004; Hirsh-Pasek et al. 1987; Jusczyk et al. 1992; Gerken et al. 1994; Nazzi et al. 2000; Seidl 2007]
\{pause\}
"I went to the castle beyond the goblin city, which was very hard to get to I saw the goblin king."

$$
\text { \{pause\} }
$$

## Other useful strategies

In additional to statistical information, infants appear to also use other cues to help them identify words in fluent speech.

- Infants use the prosody (rhythm) of an utterance to help them identify likely boundaries for words (sequences that cross utterance or clause boundaries are less likely to be words). [Gout et al. 2004; Hirsh-Pasek et al. 1987; Jusczyk et al. 1992; Gerken et al. 1994; Nazzi et al. 2000; Seidl 2007]
"I went to the castle beyond the goblin ciy, which was very hard to get to, I saw the goblin king."
\{pause\}
Crossing a clause boundary less likely to be a word


## Other useful strategies

In additional to statistical information, infants appear to also use other cues to help them identify words in fluent speech.

- Infants use the prosody (rhythm) of an utterance to help them identify likely boundaries for words (sequences that cross utterance or clause boundaries are less likely to be words). [Gout et al. 2004; Hirsh-Pasek et al. 1987; Jusczyk et al. 1992; Gerken et al. 1994; Nazzi et al. 2000; Seidl 2007]
\{pause\}
"I went to the castle beyond the goblin city. which was very hard to get to I saw the goblin king." \{pause\}

Not crossing a clause or utterance boundary - more likely to be a word

## Other useful strategies

In additional to statistical information, infants appear to also use other cues to help them identify words in fluent speech.

- Infants use the prosody (rhythm) of an utterance to help them identify likely boundaries for words (sequences that cross utterance or clause boundaries are less likely to be words). [Gout et al. 2004; Hirsh-Pasek et al. 1987; Jusczyk et al. 1992; Gerken et al. 1994; Nazzi et al. 2000; Seidl 2007]
\{pause\}
"I went to the castle beyond the goblin city, which was very hard to get to, Isaw the goblin king."
\{pause\} Crossing an utterance boundary less likely to be a word

| Other useful strategies |
| :--- |
| In additional to statistical information, infants appear to also use <br> other cues to help them identify words in fluent speech. |
| - Infants distinguish between stressed and unstressed syllables, and <br> they learn language-specific biases. English infants prefer words to <br> begin with stress (Jusczyk et al. 1993, Jusczyk et al. 1999) while <br> French infants prefer words to end with stress (Vihman et al. 1998). <br> "I went to the castle beyond the goblin city, which was very <br> hard to get to, I saw the goblin king." <br> \{pause\} |

## Other useful strategies

In additional to statistical information, infants appear to also use other cues to help them identify words in fluent speech.

- Infants distinguish between stressed and unstressed syllables, and they learn language-specific biases. English infants prefer words to begin with stress (Jusczyk et al. 1993, Jusczyk et al. 1999) while French infants prefer words to end with stress (Vihman et al. 1998).

\{pause\} ...though it's not perfect


## Other useful strategies

In additional to statistical information, infants appear to also use other cues to help them identify words in fluent speech.

- Infants distinguish between stressed and unstressed syllables, and they learn language-specific biases. English infants prefer words to begin with stress (Jusczyk et al. 1993, Jusczyk et al. 1999) while French infants prefer words to end with stress (Vihman et al. 1998).



## Other useful strategies

In additional to statistical information, infants appear to also use other cues to help them identify words in fluent speech.

But how do infants learn these language-specific stress biases? Swingley (2005) suggests that they arise from the initial words infants extract by using statistical cues. This initial set of words is sometimes called a proto-lexicon.

| went | castle | goblin | city |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| very | hard | get | saw |
| king |  |  |  |

king
All words in this English proto-lexicon appear to begin with a stressed syllable.

## Other useful strategies

In additional to statistical information, infants appear to also use other cues to help them identify words in fluent speech.

Some evidence that this is the right sequence of events:
Thiessen \& Saffran (2003) found that 6-month-olds prefer to segment using statistical cues (like transitional probability), but 9-month-olds prefer to use lexical stress cues. This suggests that infants first rely on statistical cues, and use the proto-lexicon derived from these statistical cues to infer the appropriate lexical stress bias.

## Questions?



You should be able to do up through question 3 on HW2 and up through question 6 on the word segmentation review questions.

## Recap: Other useful strategies

Besides statistical cues to word segmentation, infants are apparently sensitive to prosodic cues such as clause and utterance boundaries, and also lexical stress patterns.

It seems that some of the lexical stress cues infants use are language-specific, so these cues are probably not used initially. Instead, these cues may be derived from the proto-lexicons infants have after using statistical cues.


