Psych215L: Language Acquisition

Lecture 18 Complex Systems II

Computational Problem: Figure out the order of words (syntax)



Jareth juggles crystals Subject Verb Object

English Subject Verb Object German

Subject Verb Subject Object Verb

Subject Object Verb Object

Kannada

Remember: Children only see the output of the system (the observable word order of Subject Verb Object) and have to reverse engineer the generative process behind it.

Thinking About Syntactic Variation



Similarities & Differences: Parameters

Chomsky: Different combinations of different basic elements (parameters) would yield the observable languages (similar to the way different combinations of different basic elements in chemistry yield many different seeming substances).



Big Idea: A relatively small number of syntax parameters yields a large number of different languages' syntactic systems.



Similarities & Differences: Parameters

Chomsky: Different combinations of different basic elements (parameters) would yield the observable languages (similar to the way different combinations of different basic elements in chemistry yield many differentseening substances).



Big Idea: A relatively small number of syntax parameters yields a large number of different languages' syntactic systems.



Similarities & Differences: Parameters

Chomsky: Different combinations of different basic elements (parameters) would yield the observable languages (similar to the way different combinations of different basic elements in chemistry yield many differentseeming substances).



Big Idea: A relatively small number of syntax parameters yields a large number of different languages' syntactic systems.



2 different parameter values of one parameter

Similarities & Differences: Parameters

Chomsky: Different combinations of different basic elements (parameters) would yield the observable languages (similar to the way different combinations of different basic elements in chemistry yield many differentseeming substances).



Big Idea: A relatively small number of syntax parameters yields a large number of different languages' syntactic systems.



Total languages that can be represented = $2^5 = 32$

Similarities & Differences: Parameters

Big Idea: A relatively small number of syntax parameters yields a large number of different languages' syntactic systems.

Learning Language Structure

Chomsky: Children are born knowing the parameters of variation (and also potentially what values that can have). This is part of Universal Grammar. Input from the native linguistic environment determines what values these parameters should have.

Learning Language Structure



Learning Language Structure



Learning Language Structure

Chomsky: Children are born knowing the parameters of variation (and also potentially what values that can have). This is part of Universal Grammar. Input from the native linguistic environment determines what values these parameters should have.







Yang (2004): Learning Complex Systems Like Language

The big point: even if children have innate knowledge of language structure, we still need to understand how they learn what the correct structural properties are for their particular language. One idea is to remember that children are good at tracking statistical information (like transitional probabilities) in the language data they hear.



<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row><table-row></table-row><table-row></table-row><table-row></table-row>224

Yang (2004): Learning Complex Systems

Statistics for word segmentation (remember Gambell & Yang (2006))

"Modeling shows that the statistical learning (Saffran et al. 1996) does not reliably segment words such as those in child-directed English. Specifically, precision is 41.6%, recall is 23.3%. In other words, about 60% of words postulated by the statistical learner are not English words, and almost 80% of actual English words are not extracted. This is so even under favorable learning conditions".

Unconstrained (simple) statistics: not so good.



If statistical measure is constrained by language-specific knowledge (words have only one main stress), performance increases dramatically: 73.5% precision, 71.2% recall.



Yang (2004): Learning Complex Systems

Combining statistics with Universal Grammar

A big deal:

"Although infants seem to keep track of statistical information, any conclusion drawn from such findings must presuppose that children know what kind of statistical information to keep track of."

- Ex: Transitional Probability
- ...of rhyming syllables? ...of syllables with nasal consonants? ...of syllables of the form CV (ba, ti)?



Linguistic Knowledge for Learning Structure

Parameters = constraints on language variation. Only certain rules/patterns are possible. This is linguistic knowledge.

A language's grammar = combination of language rules = combination of parameter values



Idea: use statistical learning to learn which value (for each parameter) that the native language uses for its grammar. This is a combination of using linguistic knowledge & statistical learning.

Yang (2004): Variational Learning

Idea taken from evolutionary biology: In a population, individuals compete against each other. The fittest individuals survive while the others die out.

How do we translate this to learning language structure?

Yang (2004): Variational Learning

Idea taken from evolutionary biology: In a population, individuals compete against each other. The fittest individuals survive while the others die out.

How do we translate this to learning language structure?

Individual = grammar (combination of parameter values that represents the structural properties of a language)



Fitness = how well a grammar can analyze the data the child encounters

Yang (2004): Variational Learning

Idea taken from evolutionary biology: A child's mind consists of a population of grammars that are competing to analyze the data in the child's native language.

Population of Grammars



Yang (2004): Variational Learning

Intuition: The most successful (fittest) grammar will be the native language grammar because it can analyze all the data the child encounters. This grammar will "win", once the child encounters enough native language data because none of the other competing grammars can analyze all the data.



Variational Learning Details

At any point in time, a grammar in the population will have a probability associated with it. This represents the child's belief that this grammar is the correct grammar for the native language.



point while the other two can't.

Variational Learning Details

Prob = 1/3

 $\bigcirc]$

Prob = 1/3

Before the child has encountered any native language data, all grammars are equally likely. So, initially all grammars have the same probability, which is 1 divided the number of grammars available.

> Prob = 1/3 If there are 3 grammars, the initial probability for any given grammar = 1/3

Variational Learning Details

As the child encounters data from the native language, some of the grammars will be more fit because they are better able to account for the structural properties in the data.

Other grammars will be less fit because they cannot account for some of the data encountered. Grammars that are more compatible with the native language data will have their probabilities increased while grammars that are less compatible will have their probabilities decreased over time.



Variational Learning Details

After the child has encountered enough data from the native language, the native language grammar should have a probability near 1.0 while the other grammars have a probability near 0.0.

Prob = 1.0
Prob = 0.0
Prob = 0.0

How do we know if a grammar can successfully analyze a data point or not? Example: Suppose or is the subject-drop parameter. ● is +subject-drop, which means the language may optionally choose to leave out the subject of the sentence, like in Spanish. Prob = 1/3 Prob = 1/3 Prob = 1/3 is -subject-drop, which means the language must always have a subject in a sentence, like English. Here, one grammar is +subject-drop while two grammars are -subject-drop.

Variational Learning Details

Variational Learning Details

Prob = 1/3

Prob = 1/3

How do we know if a grammar can successfully analyze a data point or not?

Example data: Vamos = coming-1st-pl = "We're coming"

The +subject-drop grammar is able to analyze this data point prob = 1/3 dropping the subject.

subject.

C) The -subject-drop grammars cannot analyze this data point since they require sentences to have a

Variational Learning Details How do we know if a grammar can successfully analyze a data point or not? Example data: Vamos = coming-1st-pl = "We're coming" 1/3 --> 1/4 The +subject-drop grammar would have its probability increased if it tried to analyze the data point. 1/3 --> 1/2

1/3 --> 1/4

() The -subject-drop grammars would have their probabilities decreased if either of them tried to analyze the data point.

Variational Learning Details

Important idea: From the perspective of the subject-drop parameter, certain data will only be compatible with +subject-drop grammars. These data will always reward grammars with +subject-drop and always punish grammars with -subject-drop.



Certain data always punish -subject-drop grammar(s).



These are called unambiguous data for the +subject-drop parameter value because they unambiguously indicate which parameter value is correct (here: +subject-drop) for the native language.

The Power of Unambiguous Data

Unambiguous data from the native language can only be analyzed by grammars that use the native language's parameter value.

This makes unambiguous data very influential data for the child to encounter, since it is incompatible with the parameter value that is incorrect for the native language.

Ex: the -subject-drop parameter value is not compatible with sentences that drop the subject. So, these sentences are unambiguous data for the

Important to remember: To use the information in these data, the child must know the subject-drop parameter exists

Yang (2004): Learning Complex Systems

Learning Parametric Systems: Variational Learning Grammars compete against each other to see which can best analyze the available data.

Added perk: Learning is then gradual (probabilistic).

Problem: Do unambiguous data exist for entire grammars? This requires data that are incompatible with every other possible parameter of every other possible grammar....

Yang (2004): Learning Complex Systems Learning Parametric Systems: Variational Learning Grammars compete against each other to see which can best analyze the available data. Parameterized Grammars This algorithm can take advantage of the fact that grammars are really sets of parameter values. Parameter values can be probabilistically accessed.



Yang (2004): Learning Complex Systems

Learning Parametric Systems: Variational Learning

Grammars compete against each other to see which can best analyze the available data.

The Learning Algorithm

- For each data point *d* encountered in the input
- Choose a grammar probabilistically from available grammars by probabilistically accessing the parameter values.



Yang (2004): Learning Complex Systems

Learning Parametric Systems: Variational Learning Grammars compete against each other to see which can best analyze the available data.

- The Learning Algorithm
- For each data point *d* encountered in the input
- Choose a grammar probabilistically from available grammars by probabilistically accessing the parameter values.
- If this grammar can analyze the data point, increase the probability of all participating parameters values slightly (reward)



Yang (2004): Learning Complex Systems

Learning Parametric Systems: Variational Learning Grammars compete against each other to see which can best analyze the available data.

The Learning Algorithm

For each data point d encountered in the input Choose a grammar probabilistically from available grammars by probabilistically accessing the parameter values.

If this grammar can analyze the data point, increase the probability of all participating parameters values slightly (reward)

Else decrease the probability of all participating parameters values slightly (punish)



Yang (2004): Learning Complex Systems

Learning Parametric Systems: Variational Learning Grammars compete against each other to see which can best analyze the available data.

Problem ameliorated: unambiguous data much more likely to exist for individual parameter values instead of entire grammars.

Yang (2004): Learning Complex Systems



Yang (2004): Learning Complex Systems

Variational Learning: Sample Case Null subjects:

Parameter 1: Topic-drop, drop subject/object if discourse topic Ex: Chinese (+topic-drop) Ex: English (-topic-drop) Ex: English (-topic-drop) lrop)

(Topic = Jareth)

- Mingtian guiji hui xiayu. Tomorrow estimate will rain 'It is tomorrow that *Jareth* believes it will rain' 1
- X *It is tomorrow that believes will rain.









Variational Learning: Sample Case

Null subjects: Prediction if kids take awhile to notice English is -topic-drop

English kids use +topic-drop (Chinese-style) grammar until they encounter enough expletives to notice that English does not optionally drop topics.

Property of Chinese-style grammar: Can drop both subjects and objects

Prediction: When English children use +topic-drop grammar, they will drop subjects and objects at the same relative rate that +topic-drop (Chinese) children do



Same rate: English children using Chinese grammar?

Promotion Promotion Promotion Promotion Target integraph Promotion Promotion Promotion Promotion Target integraph Promotion Promotion</td