

Psych 56L/ Ling 51: Acquisition of Language

Lecture 3 Biological Bases of Language I

Announcements

Review questions for biological bases of languages available

Be working on HW1 (due 1/20/11)

Language as a Human Universal



Language as a Human Instinct



Fish pretty much always swim.



Birds pretty much always fly.

Humans pretty much always....talk.



More than culture

Language is more than simply a cultural habit that one generation copies from previous ones.

If there is no language model to learn from, humans will spontaneously *create* language.

pidgins & creoles

the case of Nicaraguan Sign Language



Pidgins

Pidgin: language created by adults from different language backgrounds who need to communicate with each other

Example:

Hawaiian Pidgin English: created by immigrant workers from Japan, Korea, and the Philippines who worked for English speakers

Ifu laik meiki, mo beta make time, mani no kaen hapai.

If like make, more better die time, money no can carry.

"If you want to build (a temple), you should do it before you die - you can't take it with you!"

Pidgins

Pidgin: language created by adults from different language backgrounds who need to communicate with each other

Another example:

Russenorsk: from Russian and Norwegian fishermen who had to communicate with each other



(More than 100 pidgin languages currently in use)

Creoles

Pidgins tend to be structurally simple (often just nouns and verbs).

However, when children born into a community where a pidgin is the only language acquire that pidgin as their native language, they create a *creole*.

Creoles are grammatically more complex, containing structures that are not in the pidgin language the children had as a model such as consistent word order, tense marking, and multi-clause sentences. Creoles often share the same features.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Syntactic_similarities_of_creoles#Syntactic_similarities

Put simply: children add something that wasn't already there!

PIDGIN	HAWAIIAN CREOLE ENGLISH
Building—high place—wall part—time—now—time—and then—now temperature avary time gee you.	Get one [There is an] electric sign high up on da wall of da building show you what time an temperature get [it is] right now.
Now days, ah, house, ah, inside, wash, clothes machine get, not? Before time, ah, no more, see? And then pipe no more, water pipe no more.	Those days bin get [there were] no more washing machine, no more pipe water like get [there is] inside house nowadays, ah?
No, the man, ah—pau [finished] work—they go, make garden. Plant ma, ah, cabbage, like that. Plant potato, like that. And then—all that one—all right, sit down. Make lily bit story.	When work pau [is finished] da guys they stay go make [are going to make] garden for plant potato an' cabbage an' after lily while they go sit down talk story; [shoot the breeze].
Good, this one, Kaukau [food] any kind this one. Piliin island no good. No more money.	Hawaii more [better] than Philippines, over here get [there is] plenty kaukau [food], over there no can buy [brother], you no more money for buy kaukau [food], 'a swity [that's why].

PIDGIN AND CREOLE versions of identical sentences illustrate the structural differences between pidgin and Creole in Hawaii. Pidgin, which is spoken only by immigrants, varies widely from speaker to speaker. Although one can probably say anything in pidgin that can be said in English or Creole, the structure of pidgin is extremely rudimentary. Pidgin sentences are little more than strings of nouns, verbs and adjectives, often arranged to place old, shared information first and new information later in the sentence. Creole arose in Hawaii only among the children of immigrants, and it is much richer in grammatical structure than pidgin. Moreover, the rules of Creole grammar are uniform from speaker to speaker, and they resemble the structural rules of other creoles. English versions of words and phrases are given in brackets.

Derek Bickerton (*Scientific American*, July 1983)

What creoles tell us

- (1) The existence of language in a community does *not* depend on someone importing a language for a community to learn. (Vocabulary may be borrowed, grammar seems not to be.)
- (2) When children acquire language, they sometimes add *something extra*, which is sometimes thought to be universal to human languages and part of children's innate endowment for language.
- (3) Creoles tend to share the same features - which suggests human minds may tend to construct languages the same way.

From pidgin to creole: Nicaraguan Sign Language

In 1978, the Nicaraguan government opened the nation's first public schools for the deaf. The deaf children who entered had no common sign language, but did have their own individual *home sign* systems.

Example English home signer:

<http://goldin-meadow-lab.uchicago.edu/Images/shovel.mov>

Once the children were in contact with each other, a new common sign language emerged: Nicaraguan Sign Language.

http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/evolution/library/07/2/I_072_04.html




From pidgin to creole: Nicaraguan Sign Language

Ann Senghas (Senghas & Coppola 2001) studied the language of children who arrived to the school at a young age vs. children who arrived when they were older.


Language of younger children:
structurally complex (more like creole)



Language of older children: structurally
simpler (more like pidgin)



Inflection:
He likes me.
(as opposed to
"he like me")



Agreement:
He is smiling.
(as opposed to
"he are smiling")

**From pidgin to creole:
Nicaraguan Sign Language**

Use of spatial modification: if two signs are made in the same spatial location, it indicates that one sign modifies the other (ex: "tall" in same location as "king" = "tall king")

Language of younger children: more spatial modification (the younger they were, the more they used it)

Language of older children: less spatial modification

**From pidgin to creole:
Nicaraguan Sign Language**

Implication: (young) children are the driving force of language creation here; they are the innovators and the ones who retain the more complex structures that result from these innovations

Language Bioprogram Hypothesis

Proposed by Derek Bickerton: the capacity for language creation seen in creolization and the development of NSL is the same capacity that underlies language acquisition.

Humans have an innate core knowledge about the structural properties human languages have.
(domain-specific knowledge)



In accord with the generativist approach to language acquisition.

Language Bioprogram Hypothesis

Proposed by Derek Bickerton: the capacity for language creation seen in creolization and the development of NSL is the same capacity that underlies language acquisition.

But that knowledge may not be language-specific! It could be statistical learning or pattern analysis abilities.
(domain-general knowledge)



Some support from Hudson-Kam & Newport (2005): children given inconsistent input make it more regular; adults given inconsistent input match the inconsistency

Elizabeth Bates

The Critical Period Hypothesis



Critical & sensitive periods

"critical period for language" = biologically determined period during which language acquisition must occur in order for language to be learned fully and correctly

Other biologically determined deadlines:

- imprinting: chicks & ducklings follow first thing they see forever (it's likely their mommy)
- visual cells in humans: if cells for both eyes don't receive visual input during the first year or so of life, they lose the ability to respond to visual input

"sensitive period": biologically determined period during which learning must occur for development to happen correctly, but development can still occur partially after this period

Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical period for language acquisition?



Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical period for language acquisition?

Ideal experiment: deprive children of all linguistic input during the purported critical period and see how language development occurs.



Problem: ideal experiment isn't so ideal ethically or logistically

Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical period for language acquisition?

Some historical cases that have unintentionally provided lack of linguistic input to children:

"wild children": like Victor of Aveyron



Problem: the lack of language may be due to other reasons

Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical period for language acquisition?

One success story for lack of linguistic input with a young child: Isabelle

1930s: 6-year-old Isabelle discovered hidden away in a dark room with a deaf-mute mother as her only contact.

She was taught to speak and by age 8, appeared to be normal. Potential implication: Isabelle discovered before critical period was over.

Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical period for language acquisition?

A more thorough study: Genie



Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical period for language acquisition?

A more thorough study: Genie

1970s: 13-year-old Genie brought by her mother to social services after escaping mentally ill father; until mother's escape, had no language input (and very horrific living conditions)

By age 17, she had a 5-year-old's vocabulary, and could express meanings by combining words together.

Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical period for language acquisition?

A more thorough study: Genie

However...syntactic skills lagged far behind - deficient in both production and comprehension.

"Mama wash hair in sink." "Like go ride yellow school bus."
"At school scratch face." "Father take piece wood. Hit. Cry."
"I want Curtiss play piano." "Applesauce buy store"
"Man motorcycle have." "Father hit Genie cry long time ago."

Dichotic listening tasks showed language was a right-hemisphere activity for her (while it's a left-hemisphere activity for most adults).

Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical period for language acquisition?

A more thorough study: Genie

Potential Implication: Genie discovered after critical period was over.

However, Genie may have had other cognitive disabilities...

Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical period for language acquisition?

Lenneberg (1967): "the only safe conclusions to be drawn from the multitude of reports is life in dark closets, wolves' dens, forests, or sadistic parents' backyards is not conducive to good health or normal development"

Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical period for language acquisition?

Another study: Chelsea (Curtiss 1988)

Family background: A partially deaf woman incorrectly diagnosed as "retarded". From a loving home.

Discovered at age 31, and fitted with hearing aids

Outcome: Learned a large vocabulary, but syntax and morphology worse than Genie.

Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical period for language acquisition?

Another study: Chelsea (Curtiss 1988)

Sample speech from Chelsea:

- (1) The small a the hat
- (2) Orange Tim car in
- (3) I Wanda be drive come
- (4) Breakfast eating girl
- (5) They are is car in the Tim

Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical period for language acquisition?

Late acquisition of sign language (ASL): deaf-of-hearing children whose parents don't know sign language. Children are eventually exposed to sign language when they encounter other deaf children.

Good: individuals have normal early childhood experience, except for lack of language input

Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical period for language acquisition?

If critical period is true, children who learn from infancy should be better than children who learned later - this is what Newport (1990) found. Children who were 4-6 when first exposed were far superior in their sign language ability to children who were exposed after age 12.



Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical period for language acquisition?

Late acquisition of sign language (ASL): deaf-of-hearing children whose parents don't know sign language. Children are eventually exposed to sign language when they encounter other deaf children.

Also important: not just about how long sign language speakers had known the language. Speakers who had been signing for more than 30 years showed this same difference: those exposed younger were far superior in their language skills to those exposed when they were older.

Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical period for language acquisition?

Look at second language learning.

Why? Children who learn a second language when they are young often become indistinguishable from their native-born peers.



Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical period for language acquisition?

Testing age differences in second language acquisition:

- Oyama (1976): testing Italian immigrants learning English age of arrival was better predictor of accent than how many years the immigrant had been speaking English

- Oyama (1978): age of arrival was better predictor of comprehension than number of years speaking the language (not just about motor skill learning ability)

Critical & sensitive periods

How do we test for a critical period for language acquisition?


Testing age differences in second language acquisition:

Johnson & Newport (1989): testing grammatical competency of Chinese & Korean natives living in the US

Heard recorded voices speaking sentences, and had to judge whether they were correct or not.

"The farmer bought two pig at the market."
 "Tom is reading book in bathtub."

Second-language proficiency dependent on age of initial language exposure (even with same number of years of exposure total)




Second-language proficiency dependent on age of initial language exposure

Morphology:

e.g. verb agreement in production

Tom is/*are reading book in bathtub




Second-language proficiency dependent on age of initial language exposure – but not all aspects are dependent



Basic word order: SVO
 Subject Verb Object
 Ex: "Penguins like fish."
 As opposed to
 "Fish penguins like"
 (Object Subject Verb)

Before and after the critical period (sometimes called "maturation")



During Maturation
Decline in ability with maturation.

After Maturation
No relationship between Age of Arrival and Test Score

Some Evidence for Critical Period

Johnson & Newport also found that performance was not correlated with:

- Formal instruction in English
- Amount of initial exposure to English
- Reported motivation to learn English
- Self-consciousness in English
- Identification with American culture


Sum Up: Critical Period

- Language learning is comparatively *effortless* before puberty, extremely effortful after
- Applies to both first and second language learning
- Applies to spoken and signed languages
- Critical periods similar to other biologically-programmed abilities in humans and other species

Critical vs. sensitive, revisited


If there is a truly a critical period of language acquisition, people learning language after this period should not succeed very well at all while people within the critical period should do very well.

Expectation: discontinuous function of performance



Critical vs. sensitive, revisited

However, more recent experimental evidence (Hakuta, Bialystok, & Wiley 2003) suggests that there is a smoother drop-off, and also a relation to education-level. (support for sensitive period)



So why are younger children better?

"Less is more" hypothesis: Newport 1991

Children can remember less than adults (and have other cognitive limitations, like less attention). Perhaps language is actually easier to figure out if the input is limited to smaller chunks. Adults remember more and can store longer chunks, which makes their analytical task harder.

Studies supporting a limitation on children's input leading to better learning performance: Pearl, Goldwater, & Steyvers 2010, Pearl 2009, Pearl & Lidz 2009, Pearl 2008, Pearl & Weinberg 2007, Dresner 1999, Lightfoot 1999, Lightfoot 1991

So why are younger children better?

Some experimental support for the utility of "Less is more" when learning a foreign language as an adult: Chin & Kersten (2010)

Adults learning French over two one-hour sessions
- full sentences vs. small phrases that incrementally increased length to full sentences (to simulate children's steadily expanding processing abilities)

Adults learning incrementally outperformed adults learning from full sentences on language proficiency tests of vocabulary and grammar.

Questions?



You should be able to answer up through question (13) of the bio bases review sheet, and up through question (3) on the homework.